## Compliance Summary

From July 01, 2019 to July 31, 2019
Eastern Division
Overall Compliance

|  | Priority 1 |  |  | Priority 2 |  |  | Priority 3 |  |  | Priority 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inc. | Late | \% | Inc. | Late | \% | Inc. | Late | \% | Inc. | Late | \% |
| Tulsa 1 | 663 | 26 | 96\% | 1,169 | 5 | 99\% | 540 | 20 | 96\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
| Tulsa 2 | 520 | 23 | 95\% | 966 | 7 | 99\% | 11 | 0 | 100\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% |
| Tulsa 3 | 707 | 34 | 95\% | 1,290 | 13 | 98\% | 509 | 23 | 95\% | 6 | 1 | 83\% |
| Tulsa Total | 1,890 | 83 | 95\% | 3,425 | 25 | 99\% | 1,060 | 43 | 95\% | 9 | 1 | 88\% |
| Sand Springs | 88 | 16 |  | 135 | 1 | 92\% | 0 | 0 | N/A | 3 | 1 | 66\% |
| Jenks | 36 | 7 |  | 63 | 1 | 91\% | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Bixby | 46 | 6 |  | 68 | 4 | 91\% | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Total Non-Beneficiary | 170 | 29 |  | 266 | 6 | 91\% | 0 | 0 | N/A | 3 | 1 | 66\% |


| Average Response Time Priority 1 \& 2 | Received to On Scene: $8: 50$ <br>  Dispatched to On Scene: | $8: 15$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

The beneficiary city of Tulsa must be above $90 \%$ each month. In the suburbs of Sand Springs, Jenks and Bixby, the total of Priority 1 and Priority 2 incidents are combined to get the compliance percentile each month. Each suburban city must be above $75 \%$ each month, and combined they must be over 90 \%. Percentage figures above are rounded down as per the RFP.

## Compliance Summary

From July 01, 2019 to July 31, 2019

## Western Division Overall Compliance

|  | Priority 1 |  |  | Priority 2 |  |  | Priority 3 |  |  | Priority 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inc. | Late | \% | Inc. | Late | \% | Inc. | Late | \% | Inc. | Late | \% |
| Oklahoma City 1 | 977 | 61 | 93\% | 1,812 | 15 | 99\% | 581 | 32 | 94\% | 26 | 2 | 92\% |
| Oklahoma City 2 | 1,081 | 107 | 90\% | 1,722 | 24 | 98\% | 311 | 23 | 92\% | 4 | 0 | 100\% |
| Edmond | 167 | 14 | 91\% | 299 | 2 | 99\% | 59 | 4 | 93\% | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Total OKC \& Edmond | 2,225 | 182 | 91\% | 3,833 | 41 | 98\% | 951 | 59 | 93\% | 30 | 2 | 93\% |
| Mustang | 39 | 4 |  | 48 | 5 | 89\% | 23 | 2 | 91\% | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| The Village | 27 | 3 |  | 42 | 1 | 94\% | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Nichols Hills | 1 | 0 |  | 6 | 0 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Total Non-Beneficiary | 67 | 7 |  | 96 | 6 | 92\% | 23 | 2 | 91\% | 0 | 0 | N/A |

Average Response Time Priority 1 \& 2
Received to On Scene: 9:14 Dispatched to On Scene: 8:51

The beneficiary city of Oklahoma City must be above $90 \%$ each month. In the suburbs of Mustang, The Village, and Nichols Hills, the total of Priority 1 and Priority incidents are combined to get the compliance percentile each month. Each suburban city must be above $75 \%$ each month, and combined they must be over 90 \%. Percentage figures above are rounded down as per the RFP.

Compliance Summary
July 1, 2019 Year to July 31, 2019
Eastern Division Non-discrimination

|  | Priority 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inc. | Late | $\%$ |
| District 1 | 663 | 26 | $96 \%$ |
| District 2 | 520 | 23 | $95 \%$ |
| District 3 | 707 | 34 | $95 \%$ |

> Each district within the Beneficiary City of Tulsa must be individually above $75 \%$ on Priority 1 transports (with a minimum of 100 incidents in each for measurement). Percentage figures above are rounded down as per the RFP.

# Western Division Non-discrimination 

|  | Priority 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inc. | Late | $\%$ |
| District 1 | 977 | 61 | $93 \%$ |
| District 2 | 1081 | 107 | $90 \%$ |
| Edmond | 167 | 14 | $91 \%$ |

Each district of the Western Division must be individually above $75 \%$ on Priority 1 transports (with a minimum of 100 incidents in each for measurement). Percentage figures above are rounded down as per the RFP.


* For the purposes of this report, transports means the number of transports that qualify for inclusion for compliance calculation purposes. Multi-unit response transports for greater than the first unit on ** For the purposes of this report, beneficiary and non-beneficiary cities have been combined. Contract compliance measures them separately.



Eastern Division Priority 1 Late Calls July 2019


Western Division Priority 1 Late Calls July 2019


Edmond Priority 1 Late Calls July 2019


