# *EMSA*Financial Review

February, 2018



#### EMSA Eastern Division Highlights



- Y-T-D loss of \$868K compared to budgeted loss of \$1,214K
- Y-T-D collection rate of 49% vs budget of 49%
- Emergency transports are more than budget 2,086 transports or 5%
- Non-emergency transports were 826 more than budget or 12%

### EMSA Western Division Highlights



- Y-T-D net loss of \$3,719K compared to budgeted net loss of \$5,479K
- Y-T-D collection rate of 51% compared to budget of 52%
- Emergency transports were more than budget by 2% or 960 transports
- Non-emergency transports were less than budget by 12% or 919 transports

## EMSA Eastern Division Cash Receipts/Disbursements



	Actual	Budget	Difference
Beg. cash	\$3,600	\$3,600	
Receipts	21,100	21,900	(800)
Oper. exp. /chg in WC	(21,100)	(21,200)	100
Cap. exp.	(1,600)	(800)	(800)
Debt Red/proceeds	(500)	(600)	<u>100</u>
Cash from	1,500	<u>2,900</u>	(1,400)
Operations Capital Contribution	<u>400</u>	<u>500</u>	(100)
<b>Ending cash</b>	<u>\$1,900</u>	<u>\$3,400</u>	(1,500)

## EMSA Western Division Cash Receipts/Disbursements

	Actual	Budget	Difference
Beg. cash	<b>\$7,400</b>	7,400	
Receipts-revenue	19,600	20,100	(500)
Oper. Exp./chgs in WC	( 24,100)	(24,300)	200
Cap. Exp.	(2,200)	(1,900)	(300)
Debt reduction	(200)	<u>(200)</u>	0
<b>Cash from Operations</b>	<u>500</u>	<u>1,100</u>	(600)
Capital Contribution	3,800	3,800	0
<b>Ending Cash</b>	<u>\$4,300</u>	<u>4,900</u>	<u>(600)</u>

There is no net interdivisional payable/receivable