# **EMSA**Financial Review

January, 2017



#### EMSA Eastern Division Highlights

- Y-T-D loss of \$1,656K compared to budgeted loss of \$575K
- Y-T-D collection rate of 50% vs budget of 50%
- Emergency transports are more than budget 1,233 transports or 3.4%
- Non-emergency transports were 724 more than budget or 14%

#### EMSA Western Division Highlights



- Y-T-D net loss of \$2,497K compared to budgeted net loss of \$2,770K
- Y-T-D collection rate of 52% compared to budget of 51%
- Emergency transports were less than budget by 1.7% or 722 transports
- Non-emergency transports were more than budget by 93% or 2,791 transports

## EMSA Eastern Division Cash Receipts/Disbursements



	Actual	Budget	Difference
Beg. cash	\$3,200	\$3,200	
Receipts	16,900	17,800	(900)
Oper. exp. /chg in WC	(17,600)	(16,700)	(900)
Cap. exp.	(200)	(1,200)	1,000
<b>Debt Reduction</b>	(200)	(200)	0
Cash from	2,100	<b>2,900</b>	(800)
Operations Capital Contribution	<u>400</u>	<u>400</u>	0
<b>Ending cash</b>	<u>\$2,500</u>	<u>\$3,300</u>	<u>(800)</u>

## EMSA Western Division Cash Receipts/Disbursements

	Actual	Budget	Difference
Beg. cash	\$6,600	6,600	
Receipts-revenue	17,800	17,100	700
Oper. Exp./chgs in WC	( 19,600)	(19,100)	(500)
Cap. Exp.	(400)	(1,200)	800
Debt reduction	(200)	(200)	0
<b>Cash from Operations</b>	4,200	3,200	1,000
<b>Capital Contribution</b>	4,100	4,100	0
<b>Ending Cash</b>	<u>\$8,300</u>	<u>7,300</u>	<u>1,000</u>

There is no net interdivisional payable/receivable