*EMSA*Financial Review

October, 2016



EMSA Eastern Division Highlights



- Y-T-D loss of \$641K compared to budgeted loss of \$152K
- Y-T-D collection rate of 50% vs budget of 50%
- Emergency transports are more than budget 641 transports or 3.1%
- Non-emergency transports were 269 more than budget or 9.1%

EMSA Western Division Highlights



- Y-T-D net loss of \$1,330K compared to budgeted net loss of \$1,318K
- Y-T-D collection rate of 52% compared to budget of 51%
- Emergency transports were less than budget by .8% or 208 transports
- Non-emergency transports were more than budget by 37% or 849 transports

EMSA Eastern Division Cash Receipts/Disbursements



	Actual	Budget	Difference
Beg. cash	\$3,200	\$3,200	
Receipts	10,100	10,200	(100)
Oper. exp. /chg in WC	(9,900)	(9,400)	(500)
Cap. exp.	(100)	(700)	600
Debt Reduction	(200)	(200)	0
Cash from	3,100	3,100	0
Operations Capital Contribution	<u>300</u>	300	0
Ending cash	<u>\$3,400</u>	<u>\$3,400</u>	0

EMSA Western Division Cash Receipts/Disbursements

	Actual	Budget	Difference
Beg. cash	\$6,600	6,600	
Receipts-revenue	10,300	9,900	400
Oper. Exp./chgs in WC	(11,300)	(10,800)	(500)
Cap. Exp.	(200)	(700)	500
Debt reduction	(200)	<u>(200)</u>	0
Cash from Operations	_5,200	4,800	400
Capital Contribution	2,100	2,100	0
Ending Cash	<u>\$7,300</u>	<u>6,900</u>	<u>400</u>

There is no net interdivisional payable/receivable